

Letter from the Editor

This section is a means of personal communication between you and the editor. Through this letter, the editor provides you with any job-related information and notifies you about any next steps you can take.

Dear Mr.Park,

Thank you for using our Premium Editing Service (PES). I hope our work meets your expectations.

The edited file contains my comments. It is important that all of them be addressed before the document is put to its intended use. Under PES, you can come back to us for multiple rounds of re-editing (within 30 days of each round) at no extra charge.

This file contains notes on issues not addressed in the edited file, the EditageReport, and the Document Scorecard. Please go through them carefully.

I look forward to working with you again.

Sincerely,

Your editor

Editor's Notes

This section is divided into different sub-sections that contain edit-related clarifications, formatting-related notes, notes pertaining to embedded figures and tables, and any other communication. You might see all or some of these sub-sections depending on your document's requirements.

Figures and Tables

1. **All figures:** The word "Befere" should appear as "Before."
2. **Figure 2:** Provide a space before and after the equality sign (=), i.e., "N=22" and "N=21" should appear as "N = 22" and "N = 21" respectively.
3. **Table 3:** Please replace "Trust Relationship among residents" with "Trust Relationship among Residents" and "Outside Stimuli (Collaboration with outsiders)" with "Outside [or External] Stimuli (Collaboration with Outsiders)."

EditageReport

This is a consolidated report prepared by the editor that provides feedback specific to each section of the document.

COMPONENT	COMMENTS
Abstract	I have rearranged the abstract to ensure it follows the Introduction-Methods-Results-Discussion structure. The word count has also been adjusted. In doing so, I have tried to retain all essential information. Check the comments.
Introduction	The Introduction section was longer than necessary. We have made it more concise. Also, read my comments about in-text citations.
Methods	You need to include some quantitative methods in this section to substantiate your research. Check my suggestions on how to ensure this.
Results	The results section is quite well-structured. I have given some comments about how to arrange it better.
Discussion	Some data reported in the Discussion section were inconsistent with those reported earlier. We have removed the discrepancy.
Editing certificate	I have included a certificate stating that the manuscript has been edited by Editage

Document Scorecard

This scorecard contains the editor's assessment of the quality of language in the document on five different parameters: grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, word choice, and structure.

LANGUAGE	RATING	COMMENTS
Grammar	Average	Focus on subject-verb agreement. I have listed the rules for ensuring subject-verb agreement in a tip.
Punctuation	Poor	You seem to have interchanged commas and semicolons in a number of instances. I have corrected them and commented on them. Read them carefully to understand how commas are different from semicolons.
Sentence construction	Average	Try and adopt a more native style of phrasing. Like keep the subject and verb close to each other.
Word choice	Good	Your choice of words was apt in most places. This indicates you have a good vocabulary.
Structure	Average	Smooth flow of ideas is very essential to ensure that your reader understands what you are trying to say. For this, logical transition between ideas is important. Focus on this.